

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/636,080	08/07/2003	Takeshi Murata	13547	9823	
7.	590 05/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ORUM & ROTH			SCHWARTZ, CH	SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
53 W. JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/636,080	MURATA, TAKESHI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher P. Schwartz	3683			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner.			
•—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		^			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment	1 /01		(PTO-413) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Attachment	t(s) e -of-References-Cited (PTO-892) -	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	WISTO 413) White ophism is			
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate WARE PRIME			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PrÖ-152)			

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 22, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent Application 1113186 ('186) in view of Haraikawa et al. or Namie et al..

Regarding claim 1 '186 discloses a shock absorber similar in structure to that of applicants. Note the similar valve assembly in figures 3 and 4.

'186 lacks a description of the threading of the bolt/nut 66,68 with respect to the winding of the coil 82.

However from the drawings it appears the nut of '186 rotates in the same direction as that of applicants which would seem to indicate the direction of the

Application/Control Number: 10/636,080

Art Unit: 3683

threading on the bolt is the same. However the winding of the coil is apparently different than that of applicants.

Both references to Namie et al. or Haraikawa et al. teach it is well known to use coil springs in a variety of applications which use coil springs with a left handed winding direction and opposite to the threaded fasteners mechanically connected to them. See Haraikawa et al. bottom of column 3 to top of column 4.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have made the threading of the bolt of EP '186 opposite to the orientation of the winding of the coil spring 82, as taught by either Namie et al. or Fischer et al. to tighten down the spring with an appropriately threaded nut simply as an alternate equivalent arrangement to that shown or dependent upon the particular design of manufacturing equipment that is available to make the coil spring. Note that in the abstract Namie that due to the particular winding direction of the coil spring and the threading of the plug, "... the tensioner can be assembled very smoothly without producing powders by abrasion which may hinder smooth sliding movement of the plunger". Therefore there may be expected benefits during assembly of the device with the modified winding and threading arrangement above.

Regarding claims 2-6,13-18 due to the close similarity of structure between EP '186 and that of applicants these requirements are either met or simply an obvious variation of the valve assembly of '186 as can easily be seen in the drawings.

Regarding claims 7-12, in light of the modification above these requirements are met.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

However, as previously discussed, because coil springs and threaded fasteners have such a wide application in the mechanical arts, as shown this time by Namie et al. and Haraikawa et al., the art is maintained to be relevant to the EP '186 reference and to the instant application.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim McClellan can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 5/3/06